

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

HD:hd

Docket No: 03563-99

12 April 2000



Dear I

This is in reference to your application for correction of your late father's naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. You ask that the naval record of your late father, former Commander Frederick C. Sueyres, United States Naval Reserve (Retired), be corrected to show he was promoted to the grade of captain.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 April 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your late father's naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Navy Personnel Command dated 3 January 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records.

Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

5420 Ser 85/274 3 Jan 00

MEMORANDUM FOR BCNR

Via: BUPERS/BCNR Coordinator

Subj: USN (Re

Encl: (1) BCNR File

1. Enclosure (1) is returned. Our records indicate that Cartain failed to select before the FY-64, 65, and 66 Inactive Line Promotion Selection Boards.

- 2. Officer promotion selection boards are convened as directed by statute. Promotion board deliberations are, by law, kept secret. Therefore, it is not possible to provide the specific reasons why failed to select for promotion. Since he was not selected by a statutory promotion board, retroactive promotion cannot be authorized.
- 3. In light of merits of request, recommend petition be forwarded to appear, BUPERS, additional comment, if necessary.

BONK Liaison, Officer Promotions and Enlisted Advancements Division